

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,353		07/03/2001	Lee Edward Macklin	10011137-1	1057
22879	22879 7590 03/31/2004			EXAMINER	
		CKARD COMPAN	WEAVER, SCOTT LOUIS		
		, 3404 E. HARMON		0.000.000	
INTELLEC	CTUAL	. PROPERTY ADM	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2645	6	
				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action	09/898,353	MACKLIN, LEE EDWARD			
	Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Scott L. Weaver	2645			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE To a fore, further action by the applicant is required to a sejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advice event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens (1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(C) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
4.⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>27 and 16-18</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		d in a separate, timely filed			
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected:					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
	Other:		Scott L. Weaver Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2645			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Costinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09-898,353





Continuation of 2. NOTE: New claim 26 raises issue for new search in that claim 26 leaves out some limitations of previous claim 25 including 'responding to' voice activation command rather than as now presented responding to a selection which 'includes' (and therefore may now include other things) voice activation command; The remarks directed toward claim 28 can not be agreed with by the examiner as reasons for allowing that claim as the claim merely states the video data is from the incoming call and the reference clearly teaches the display of incoming call data(col.5,ln.21-24), since that data is displayed it is considered video data, the claim does not limit the term 'video' to defeinitely being full motion video or still image (picture) as may be the intent. The remarks directed toward claim 20 can not be agreed with by the examiner as reasons for allowing that claim as the reference clearly teaches enabling selection via visual display of recorded message, the computer system clearly responds by playing and retrieving the selected message, the claim language does not limit the term 'interrupt' any further than requiring such to be a cause for a funciton to be performed and each of those funcitons is clearly taught by the reference.